## Case 5:16-cv-00159-PSG Document 5 Filed 03/24/16 Page 2 of 2

1	challenging the execution of a sentence are preferably heard in the district of confinement. <sup>3</sup>
2	Here, the Central District of California is the district of confinement. In addition, to the extent
3	Oden is raising a civil rights claim, the acts complained of also occurred within the venue of the
4	Central District of California.
5	Accordingly, this case is TRANSFERRED to the Central District of California. <sup>4</sup> The
6	Clerk shall terminate all pending motions and transfer the entire file to the Central District of
7	California.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: 3/24/2016 PAULS GREWALL
10	United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	<sup>3</sup> See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b)(2); Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989).
28	<sup>4</sup> See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

2

Case No. C 16-0159 PSG (PR) ORDER OF TRANSFER